

Do. No.5038-63

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: John B. Halbert et al.

U.S. Serial No. 09/678,751

Examiner: Pierre M. Vital RECEIVED

DEC 1 6 2003

Filed: October 3, 2000

Group Art Unit:

2188

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

For:

BUFFERED MEMORY MODULE SUPPORTING HIGH-SPEED

SYTEM MEMORY BUS USING WIDER MEMORY MODULE

BUS (as amended)

Confirm. No.: 5518

PETITION FOR WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE (37 C.F.R. § 1.313) AND REQUEST FOR REFUND OF IDS FEE AND ISSUE FEE

Attn: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The applicant's petition to withdraw the above-referenced application from issue pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 1.313(c)(2) for consideration of a request for continued examination.

- 1. Petition fee:
 - \boxtimes Large entity fee \$130.00 (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h))
- \boxtimes 2. **STATEMENT**

The applicants filed a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement by first class mail to the U.S.P.T.O. on September 25, 2003, OIPE stamped for September 29, 2003, and received in the Technology Center on October 7, 2003. The IDS was filed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) with the fee. At the time of filing, however,

12/15/2003 YPOLITE1 00000031 09678751

02 FC:1460

130.00 OP

PETITION TO WITHDRAW FROM ISSUANCE REQUEST FOR REFUND

1

DO. NO 5038-63

a Final Office action was pending and therefore the filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) was mistaken.

Subsequent to the filing of the IDS, applicants filed a response to the Final Office action, on October 3, 2003. The Examiner initiated a telephonic interview with the applicant's attorney on October 9, 2003, to discuss the October 3 response, but did not indicate any irregularity in the IDS. During the interview only minor changes to the claims and informalities to the abstract were discussed. There was not any indication given at that time that the Supplemental IDS and cited references would not be considered.

The Notice of Allowance was issued and mailed October 22, 2003, still with no indication that the Supplemental IDS would not be considered. The Notice of Allowability papers showed there were items pending with the application, such as the request to submit a formal statement regarding the telephonic interview, but did not include a MPEP § 609.49 paragraph, which would have clarified the status of the IDS. The applicant's attorney submitted the required interview summary by the one month deadline, November 20, 2003, along with the issue fee payment. The Examiner's notice that the Supplemental IDS would not be considered was finally mailed the same day, November 20, 2003. Had applicants known upon receiving the Notice of Allowance, or at any other time prior to paying the issue fee, that the IDS was in error and would not be considered, the issue fee would not have been paid and a Request for Continued Examination would have been filed.

The applicants respectfully request the application be withdrawn from issuance, and submit herewith a Request for Continued Examination in order to file a corrected Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)(4).

- 4. Request for Refund:
 - Applicant requests refund of the Supplemental IDS fee for the IDS that was not considered.
 - Applicant requests refund of the issue fee that would not have been forwarded in conjunction with the interview summary had the Notice of non-consideration of the IDS submitted been indicated, or processed in a more timely manner with regard to the Notice of Allowance.

Applicant requests the refunds be credited to Deposit Account No. 13-1703

- 5. Fee Payment:
 - PTO Form 2038 authorizing credit card payment for the abovelisted fees is enclosed (\$130.00 petition fee).
 - Any deficiency or overpayment should be charged or credited to deposit account number 13-1703.

Customer No. 20575

Respectfully submitted,


Marger Johnson & McCollom, P.C. 1030 SW Morrison Street Portland, Oregon 97205 (503) 222-3613

James E. Harris Registration Number

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA22313-1450

Date: December 10, 2003

Lauren Ballard-Gemmell



DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003

RECEIVED

DEC 1 6 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./
CONTROL NO.

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /
PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

11

RECEIVED

DATE MAILED:

DEC 1 6 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Kegmeld D. Brighn

The Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed 9/29/03 and submitted under 37 CFR 1.97 (c) has not been considered by the Examiner because it does not contain a statement under 37 CFR 1.97 (e) as required when filed after final action or notice or allowance.

REGINALD G. BRAGEO.





Atty. Docket No.:

5038-063 John B. Halbert October 3, 2000



Serial No.

09/678,751

PATENT APPLICATION

2188

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION FORM PTO-1449 (Modified)

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Exam <u>Init</u>	Ref	Document <u>Number</u>	Issue <u>Date</u>	Name	Class	Sub Class
		6,502,161 B1 6,345,321 B1	Dec. 31, 2002 Feb. 5, 2002	Perego et al. Litaize et al.	711 710	ح 23

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Exam <u>Init</u>	Ref	Document Number	Publication Date	Country	Name

OTHER DOCUMENTS

<u>Init</u>	Ref	(Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)
		Intel Corporation, International Application No. PCT/US98/25181 International Filing Date: Nov. 23, 1998

Technology Center 2100

OCL 0 4 5003

RECEIVED

Date Considered: _____

